	Case 2:21-cv-00262-TLN-EFB Documer	nt 69 Filed 03/10/23 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	AKIVA AVIKAIDA ISRAEL,	No. 2:21-cv-00262-TLN-EFB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	SHMARYAHU BROWNSTEIN, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. The action proceeds on plaintiff's second amended complaint, alleging that	
19	defendants have violated her constitutional rights to free exercise of religion and equal protection	
20	and the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. ECF Nos. 26, 28. The court is	
21	currently faced with various requests filed by plaintiff to grant her more time to respond to	
22	defendants' discovery requests and with defendants' requests to modify the schedule and compel	
23	plaintiff to respond to discovery. ECF Nos. 61-66, 68.	
24	On December 5, 2022, plaintiff filed a motion to modify the schedule by extending the	
25	discovery deadline by 45 days and to give plaintiff an additional seven days to respond to a set of	
26	document requests and a set of interrogatories that defendants had served on plaintiff. ECF No.	
27	61.	
28		
		1

Case 2:21-cv-00262-TLN-EFB Document 69 Filed 03/10/23 Page 2 of 3

Defendants filed their own motion to modify the schedule on January 6, 2023, noting that they had no opposition to the granting of plaintiff's requests to extend discovery deadlines. ECF No. 63. Defendants ask the court to allow them to file a motion to compel (the deadline under the current schedule passed on December 9, 2022 (ECF No. 50)) and concurrently filed that motion, seeking to compel responses to their document requests and interrogatories. ECF Nos 63, 64.

Plaintiff then filed a motion requesting an additional two months to respond to the discovery requests, stating that she had attempted suicide on December 6, 2022. ECF No. 65. Another motion from plaintiff followed a week later, in which plaintiff stated that she had "suffered a medically disabling episode of serious mental illness" on December 6, 2022 and "a disruption to all vital brain functions as a result of epilepsy" on January 12, 2023. ECF No. 66. Plaintiff states that she will be prejudiced if she is forced to respond to the pending discovery requests before her "neurological and neurophysical distress is resolved." *Id.* Plaintiff states that she is currently experiencing memory loss, black-outs, trauma, dissociation reactions, metabolic disorders, and cognitive impairment. *Id.* She needs "at least two to three months" to respond to the discovery requests." *Id.*

Defendants oppose the granting of any indefinite extension of time for plaintiff to serve her discovery responses. ECF No. 68. Defendants are not opposed, however, to a definite extension of time in light of plaintiff's health struggles. *Id.* Defendants argue that, as plaintiff has conceded her failure to timely respond to their discovery requests, the court should grant their motion to compel her responses. *Id.*

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37 authorizes a court to compel a party who has failed to respond to discovery requests to do so. The court's decision to grant or deny such a motion is reviewed for abuse of discretion. *Self Directed Placement Corp v. Control Data Corp.*, 908 F.2d 462, 463 (9th Cir. 1990). Here, there is no dispute that plaintiff has not timely responded to defendants' discovery requests, but there is also no dispute that plaintiff has suffered recent health emergencies that have impacted her ability to respond. Accordingly, the court will grant the requests by both parties to modify the schedule to allow additional time for discovery. In light of plaintiff's health situation, the court will deny the motion to compel at this time, but will provide

1 a definite deadline for plaintiff to serve her responses. If plaintiff again fails to file timely 2 responses, defendants may renew their request to compel responses. 3 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that: 1. The motions to modify the schedule filed by plaintiff on December 5, 2022 (ECF No. 4 5 61), December 9, 2022 (ECF No. 62), January 17, 2023 (ECF No. 65), and January 23, 6 2023 (ECF No. 66) and by defendants on January 6, 2023 (ECF No. 63) are 7 GRANTED, and the schedule is modified as follows: 8 a. Plaintiff shall serve her responses to defendants' October 7, 2022 requests for 9 production of documents and interrogatories on or before May 6, 2023. 10 b. The parties may conduct additional discovery until July 5, 2023. Any motions 11 to compel shall be filed by that date. All requests for discovery pursuant to 12 Fed. R. Civ. P. 31, 33, 34, or 36 shall be served not later than May 6, 2023. 13 c. Dispositive motions shall be filed on or before September 4, 2023. 14 2. Defendants' January 6, 2023 motion to compel (ECF No. 64) is DENIED without 15 prejudice to its renewal should plaintiff fail to comply with this order. 16 3. Failure to comply with this order may result in dismissal of this action. 17 18 Dated: March 10, 2023. EDMUND F. BRENNAN 19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Case 2:21-cv-00262-TLN-EFB Document 69 Filed 03/10/23 Page 3 of 3

28